

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
COMMISSIONIER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
(Washington, D.U. 2023)

Washington, D.U. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 6796 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 303.311US2 Christophe Pierrat FILING DATE EXAMINER APPLICATION NO. 02/11/2000

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. 09/503,553 21186 P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

BROWN, KHALED PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2851

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-					$11\sqrt{}$	
		Application No		Applicant(s)	10-	
		09/503,553		PIERRAT ET AL.		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Khaled Brown		2851		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Deponentian to communication (a) filed on 00	January 2002				
1)⊡ 20\□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
2a) <u></u> 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊡	Claim(s) 23-62 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[•	5) Claim(s) 34,35,43,44,47-50,59 and 60 is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>23-29,31-33,36,38-42,45,46,51,53-58,61 and 62</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊡	7) Claim(s) 30,37 and 52 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	4)	ì	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 09/503,553

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington,

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

Claims 23,32,34,36 and 39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of 37 CFR 3.73(b). obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,3, (4 and 33), 1,1 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6096457. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because The 6096457 patent obviously has a means for optimizing "off-axis illumination parameters" to "compensate for the effects of the phase error" and that means corresponds to "a restrictor" as claimed in the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,553

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-29,31-33,36,38-42,45,46,51,53-58,61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gortych et al (US 5680588).

Re clms 23,27,29,32,36,39,45,57,61: Gortych et al discloses a system comprising; an illuminator (24), a phase shifting mask (26) and a restrictor (22) adapted for adjusting illumination parameters (Col 4 lines 35-42) to compensate for a phase shift error in the phase shifting mask (Col 5 lines 15-19 says the invention obtains the best possible image of the phase shift mask projected onto the wafer and thus the invention inherently compensates for any phase shift error in the phase shift mask), an optics lens (30), the illuminator uses empirical data (Col 6 line 58) in optimizing off-axis illumination (Col 9 line 1).

Re clm 24: the restrictor provides off-axis illumination (Col 4 lines 65-66 and Col 2)

Re clm 25,28: the restrictor optimizes printing of the alternating phase shift mask using empirical data taken from one or more simulations of an image on the alternating phase shifting mask (Col 6 lines 56-61).

Re clm 26,31,33,38,46,58,62: the phase shift mask is from the group consisting of alternating (Col 15 lines 8-11) or attenuating phase shift masks.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,553

Art Unit: 2851

Re clms 40-43,51,53-56: The above disclosed apparatus is capable of performing the claimed method steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34,35,43,44,47,48,49,50,59 and 60 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed structure of the invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 30,37 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed structure of the invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-62 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tanaka et al 5633713.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khaled Brown whose telephone number is 703-306-5738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell E. Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

**Color of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Alan A. Mathews Primary Examiner

KB September 4, 2002